Class 3: Electromagnetism

In this class we will apply index notation to the familiar field of electromagnetism, and discuss its deep connection with relativity

Class 3: Electromagnetism

At the end of this session you should be able to ...

- ... describe how electromagnetism is fundamentally a relativistic phenomenon
- ... understand how electromagnetism can be formulated in index notation using the **Maxwell Field Tensor**
- ... use the Lorentz transformations to transform electric and magnetic fields between frames
- ... describe electromagnetic energy density and flow in terms of index notation

Synthesis of ideas

 Physics is about the synthesis of ideas: understanding apparently different phenomena as the joint consequences of a deeper reality





For example, in 1666 Newton realized that the same gravitational force causes both apples to fall downwards on the Earth, and the Earth to orbit the Sun

Synthesis of ideas

• Electromagnetism represents another great synthesis of ideas. Prior to 1830, *electricity* and *magnetism* were considered separate phenomena

Electricity



Magnetism



http://www.miniscience.com/kits/KITSEC/index.html

https://www.dreamstime.com/stock-image-magnet-nails-image29533081

Synthesis of ideas

• However, Faraday's experiments demonstrated that a magnet, as well as a battery, can drive a current



Electric field

• Electric charge generates an **electric field** \vec{E} in the surrounding region



https://en.wikipedia.org /wiki/Electric_field

• An electric field is a region of space in which an electric charge feels a force, $\vec{F} = q\vec{E}$

Magnetic field

• Electric current (or moving charge) generates a **magnetic field** \vec{B} in the surrounding region



• A magnetic field is a region of space in which an electric current feels a force, $\vec{F} = I \ d\vec{l} \times \vec{B}$

Maxwell's Equations

• Maxwell's Equations describe how \vec{E} and \vec{B} -fields are generated from charge and current





If you have bought one of those T-shirts with Maxwell's equations on the front, you may have to worry about its going out of style, but not about its becoming false. We will go on teaching Maxwellian electrodynamics as long as there are scientists.

(Steven Weinberg)

izquotes.com

http://www.hotelsrate.org/maxwell-equation-t-shirt/ http://izquotes.com/quote/277247

Electromagnetic potentials

• **Potentials**: \vec{E} and \vec{B} -fields can be generated from an *electrostatic potential* V and *magnetic potential* \vec{A}

• Electrostatics: $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = \vec{0} \rightarrow \vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} V$

• Magnetism: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \rightarrow \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$



https://www.pinterest.com.au/pin/512143788857285159/

• Time-varying: $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = \vec{0} \rightarrow \vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} V - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$

Electromagnetic energy

• **Energy**: it takes work to establish an \vec{E} or \vec{B} -field



- We may think of this work as being **stored in the fields** with energy density $\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 E^2$ or $\frac{1}{2\mu_0} B^2$
- The **flux of energy** is given by $\frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{c}$ (the "Poynting vector")

4-vectors for electromagnetism

- Since \vec{E} is produced by charges, and \vec{B} by moving charges, it's natural that \vec{E} and \vec{B} are **linked by viewing in different reference frames** – i.e., by a Lorentz transformation
- When a line of charge moves, it becomes a current!



4-vectors for electromagnetism

- Since \vec{E} is produced by charges, and \vec{B} by moving charges, it's natural that \vec{E} and \vec{B} are **linked by viewing in different reference frames** – i.e., by a Lorentz transformation
- However, to develop electromagnetism in relativistic notation, we need some 4-vectors!
- In the last class we saw that the sources of the fields form a 4-vector, $J^{\mu} = (\rho c, J_x, J_y, J_z)$ with continuity $\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} = 0$
- In this class we will demonstrate that electromagnetism may be described very nicely if **the potentials also form a 4-vector**, $A^{\mu} = (\frac{V}{c}, A_x, A_y, A_z)$

Maxwell field tensor

We'll form a wonderful object known as the Maxwell
 Field Tensor ("tensor" because it has 2 indices, μ and ν)



• "If in doubt, write it out"

Maxwell field tensor

We'll form a wonderful object known as the Maxwell
 Field Tensor ("tensor" because it has 2 indices, μ and ν)



• Multiplying this out,

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ -E_x/c & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ -E_y/c & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ -E_z/c & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Maxwell's equations

Maxwell's equations ...

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad \qquad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = \vec{0} \qquad \qquad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \vec{J}$$

... can be nicely written as

 $\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -\mu_0 J^{\nu}$ $\partial^{\lambda}F^{\mu\nu} + \partial^{\mu}F^{\nu\lambda} + \partial^{\nu}F^{\lambda\mu} = 0$

Transformation of EM fields

• We can use the Maxwell Field Tensor to deduce how electromagnetic fields transform between frames:



Transformation of EM fields

• Multiplying out each component of this equation:

$$E_{x}' = E_{x} \qquad B_{x}' = B_{x}$$

$$E_{y}' = \gamma (E_{y} - \nu B_{z}) \qquad B_{y}' = \gamma (B_{y} + \nu E_{z}/c^{2})$$

$$E_{z}' = \gamma (E_{z} + \nu B_{y}) \qquad B_{z}' = \gamma (B_{z} - \nu E_{y}/c^{2})$$

• \vec{E} and \vec{B} "intermingle" under a Lorentz transformation!

Another path to relativity?

- Maxwell's Equations keep the same mathematical form under a Lorentz transformation – but not under a Galilean transformation
- This was already known by Lorentz in the 1890s, before Einstein published Special Relativity
- In the modern story Einstein's postulates are presented first, but it can all be derived from electromagnetism!



H.Lorentz (1853-1928)

Magnetic field of a current

• When a line of charge moves, it becomes a current



• First consider the field of the stationary charge in S':



Magnetic field of a current

- What is the magnetic field in S?
- Lorentz transformation: $B_Z = \frac{\gamma v E_y'}{c^2} = \frac{\gamma v \lambda'}{2\pi \varepsilon_0 y' c^2}$
- Length contraction implies that the line density of charge in S is $\gamma \lambda'$, and the current in S is then $I = \gamma \lambda' v$
- Hence, using y' = y and $c^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0}$, we find $B_z = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi y}$



https://www.quora.com/Does-a-current-carrying-conductor-act-as-a-magnet-Does-it-have-to-be-wound-around-an-iron-core-to-get-the-magnetic-effect

Transformation of EM fields

- What are the implications of this formulation of electromagnetism?
- Magnetism is not an independent phenomenon, but a relativistic effect that occurs when electrostatics are observed in a different frame
- If the speed of light were infinite, there would be no magnetism!

Field of a moving charge

• What is the electric field of a charge +q moving through S?



• First consider the field of a stationary charge in S':



https://courses.lumenlearning.com/boundless-physics/chapter/the-electric-field-revisited/

Field of a moving charge

- Lorentz transformations: $\vec{E} = (E_x', \gamma E_y', \gamma E_z')$
- Evaluate the field at t = 0: $\vec{r}' = (\gamma x, y, z)$
- After some algebra: $r'^2 = \gamma^2 r^2 [1 (v \sin \theta / c)^2]$



$$\vec{E} = \frac{q \, \vec{r}}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 \gamma^2 r^3 [1 - (\nu \sin\theta / c)^2]^{3/2}}$$

EM energy-momentum tensor

• The energy-momentum tensor for electromagnetism is:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left(F^{\mu}{}_{\lambda} F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} \right)$$

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ -E_x/c & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ -E_y/c & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ -E_z/c & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



• How do we de-code this expression??

•
$$F^{\mu}{}_{\lambda} = \eta_{\lambda\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\nu} \eta_{\lambda\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

•
$$F_{\kappa\lambda} = \eta_{\kappa\mu} \eta_{\lambda\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

• $F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} = \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\lambda} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda}$

EM energy-momentum tensor

• The energy density is given by the first component, T^{00} :

$$T^{00} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left(F^0{}_\lambda F^{0\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} \eta^{00} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} \right)$$

- Evaluating this expression, $T^{00} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0 E^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0}B^2$
- The flux of energy in the *i*-direction is given by T^{0i} :

$$T^{0i} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left(F^0{}_{\lambda} F^{i\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} \eta^{0i} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda} \right) = 0$$

• Evaluating this expression, $T^{0i} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{(\vec{E} \times \vec{B})}{c}$

Force on a moving charge

• The Lorentz force law can be expressed in index notation as

$$\frac{dp^{\mu}}{d\tau} = q F^{\mu}{}_{\nu} u^{\nu}$$



Gauges

- In electrostatics, the zero of potential is arbitrary
- In general, if $A^{\mu} \rightarrow A^{\mu} + \partial^{\mu} f$, where f is any function of (\vec{x}, t) , the electromagnetic fields \vec{E} and \vec{B} are unchanged! (We can show this by substituting in $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}$)
- This freedom is known as a **gauge choice** it's convenient to choose f such that $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0$
- That's because we then find, $\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}$
- Combining with Maxwell's Equation $\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -\mu_0 J^{\nu}$:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)A^{\mu} = -\mu_0 J^{\mu}$$